ELEVATION, IN FEET

BATON ROUGE

PLAQUEMINE

ELEVATION, IN FEET

Left bank

SECTION

OF TRAVEL OF SOLUTES IN MISSISSIPPI RIVER FROM BATON ROUGE TO NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

sed in hours after peak concentration, cloud at each sam-lowing graph:

New Orleans

PEAK CONCENTRATION, IN PARTS PER BILLION

Buchanan, T. J., 1964, Time of travel of soluble contaminants in streams: Am. Soc. Civil Engineers Proc., v. 90, no. SA3, Paper 3932, 12 p. Godfrey, R. G., and Frederick, B. J., 1963, Dispersion in natural streams: U.S. Geol. Survey open-file report, 75 p.

Wilson, J. F., Jr., and Forrest, W. E., 1965, Potomac River time of travel measurements: Lamont Geol. Observatory Symposium on Diffusion in Oceans and Fresh Waters, Palisades, N. Y., 1964 Proc., p. 1-21.

Wilson, J. F., Jr., and McAvoy, R. L., 1966, Water Movement in the Patuxent River Basin, in Crooks, J. W., O'Bryan, D., and others, Water resources of the Patuxent River Basin: U.S. Geol. Survey Hydrol. Inv. Atlas HA-244. Norton, Lt. Col. L. W., 1966, Salvage of the "602"; The Military Engineer, v. 58, no. 383, p. 160-1.

The graph shows that the leading edge reached Plaquemine about 11 hours after injection; it reached Sunshine Bridge 37 hours after injection, Reserve 55 hours after injection. This graph can also be used to approximate the length of the dye cloud at any point. For example, when the peak concentration was at Sunshine Bridge, the leading edge was at river mile 159 and the trailing edge at mile 181. The dye cloud was 22 miles long. It is also possible to estimate from this graph the number of hours for the dye topass a point. For example, at Sunshine Bridge the leading edge arrived 37 hours after injection and the trailing edge edge left 54 hours after injection; hence it took 17 hours to pass Sunshine Bridge.

The following graph illustrates how dispersion caused the peak concentration to decrease as the dye cloud traveled downstream. Loss of dye, due to adsorption, decay, and dilution, was heaviest in the upper part of the study reach and does not appear to have affected any of the results significantly.

ixed laterally by the and just about comt reached Sunshine of that uniconcurred at Plasunshine Bridge and re not taken at New formity observed at

STUDY

River. It would be possible to estimate reasonable travel times for any flow condition if a similar dye study were made at a higher discharge. This could be done by straight-line interpolation and extrapolation of the results of the two dye studies. Even with just this one time-of-travel study it is possible to approximate travel time at other discharges. Adjustments could be made to account for different discharges and the corresponding change in cross-sectional areas of the channel.

Some modification of the curves presented in this report would be required if a contaminant were injected into the river at a point a considerable distance from Baton Rouge. Travel time between sites would be the same if the discharge were the same as that observed (240,000 cfs) for this study, but the time-concentration curves would have to be modified.

SELECTED REFERENCES

TIME OF SOLUTES MISSISSIPPI ROUGE

CONCENTRATION, IN PARTS PER BILLION ELEVATION, IN FEET CROSS

ELEVATION, IN FEET

6

NEW ORLEANS

60

CONCENTRATION, IN

PELAPSED TIME FROM INJECTION, IN HOURS
TIME CONCENTRATION CURVE

NEW

ORLEANS

CROSS SECTION

UNSHINE

CONCENTRATION, IN PARTS PER BILLION

BRIDGE

ELEVATION, IN FEET

QUEMINE

CONCENTRATION, IN PARTS PER BILLION

ion

mple of approximate assage time of dye loud at river mile 167.5 about 17 hours)

The flow of the Mississippi River at Baton Rouge was approximately 240,000 cfs (cubic feet per second) at the time the dye was injected; there was no significant inflow or outflow in the study reach. Different times of travel between Baton Rouge and New Orleans are to be expected with larger or smaller flow in the Mississippi

HYDROLOGIC INVESTIGATIONS ATLAS HA-260